

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

· APPLICATION NO.	, FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,295	02/27/2004	Masahiro Tanaka	501.43488X00	4924
20457	7590 04/04/2006		EXAM	INER
	LI, TERRY, STOUT	SHANKA	SHANKAR, VIJAY	
	1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800			PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22209-3873		2629	

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/787,295	TANAKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	VIJAY SHANKAR	2629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be low will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. JED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 February 2004</u> .						
,						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ıry (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom representation (1.10.100)				

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to

Application/Control Number: 10/787,295 Page 3

Art Unit: 2629

be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-8 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double 3. patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of U.S. Patent No. 7,006,069. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Claim 1 of the instant application and Claim 1 of the U.S. Patent No. 7,006,069 claim similar subject matters such as a display device comprising: a pixel array; a scanning driver circuit; a data driver circuit; and a display control circuit which controls a display operation of the pixel array, wherein lines of image data are inputted to the data driver circuit one after another for every horizontal scanning period of the image data; the data driver circuit; the scanning driver circuit alternately repeats (i) a first selection step for selecting the plurality of pixel rows for every Y rows (Y being a natural number smaller than the N/M) sequentially from one end to another end of the pixel array along the second direction in the first step and (ii) a second selection step for selecting the plurality of pixel rows other than the pixel rows (Y.times.N)selected in the first selection step for every Z rows (Z being a natural number not smaller than N/M) sequentially from one end to another end of the pixel array along the second direction in the second step, and outputting the display signal.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/787,295

Art Unit: 2629

Similar analysis is applicable to Claims 2-8 of the instant application.

Claims 1-8 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory 4. obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-7 of copending Application No. 10/760,362 and Claims 1-11 of copending Application No. 10/787,771. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all three copending applications claim similar subject matters such as a display device comprising: a pixel array; a scanning driver circuit; a data driver circuit; and a display control circuit which controls a display operation of the pixel array, wherein lines of image data are inputted to the data driver circuit one after another for every horizontal scanning period of the image data; the data driver circuit; the scanning driver circuit alternately repeats (i) a first selection step for selecting the plurality of pixel rows for every Y rows (Y being a natural number smaller than the N/M) sequentially from one end to another end of the pixel array along the second direction in the first step and (ii) a second selection step for selecting the plurality of pixel rows other than the pixel rows (Y.times.N)selected in the first selection step for every Z rows (Z being a natural number not smaller than N/M) sequentially from one end to another end of the pixel array along the second direction in the second step, and outputting the display signal.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,295 Page 5

Art Unit: 2629

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Similar analysis is applicable to Claims 2-8 of the instant application.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VIJAY SHANKAR whose telephone number is (571) 272-7682. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, BIPIN SHALWALA can be reached on (571) 272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VIJAY SHANKAR Primary Examiner Art Unit 2629